	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/462,993	Kieny, Marie-Paule
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Q. Janice Li	1632

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Q. Janice Li, PTO*.   (3)_____.

(2) *Christopher North, Appl. Rep.*.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *15 December 2003*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
       c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☒ Yes   e)☐ No.
    If Yes, brief description: *draft amendment*.

Claim(s) discussed: *all claims pending*.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *Applicants proposed claim amendment to overcome the written description rejection, and rejections under 112, 2nd paragraph. The Examiner agreed to consider the amendment if applicants submit an after final response accordingly*.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04. If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required